



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
c9/240,893	01/29/1999	G. ALEX TERRY	ITC:9905	7923
75	90 02/28/2002			
Michael J. Thomas			EXAMINER	
Senniger, Powers, Leavitt & Roedel One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor			NGUYEN, DUC MINH	
St. Louis, MO	63102		ART UNIT PAPER N	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

			a N
· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	770
Advisory Action	09/240,893	TERRY, ALEX	r
, and a second	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Duc Nguyen	2643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	(1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper replich places the application	cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THAT ITHIN THE MONTHS OF THE ACT OF THE MONTHS OF THE MONTH	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. S .136(a) and the appropriate extension of the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl		•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	iterially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		isidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:		
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15, 17-22, 27, 30, 32-35, 37-38	<u>8, 45-56</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a)□ approved or b)□ disar	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:		pr	
		DUC NGUYEN MARY EXAMINER	